



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R06-OAR-2020-0161; FRL-10428-01-R6]

Air Plan Approval; Texas; Reasonable Further Progress Plan for the Dallas-Fort Worth Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is supplementing a proposed approval published on October 9, 2020 (“October 2020 proposal”), for revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) to meet the Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) requirements for the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) serious nonattainment area for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). This proposal supplements the EPA’s October 2020 proposal with respect to the substitution of emission reductions of nitrogen oxide (NO_x) for emission reductions of volatile organic compounds (VOC), based on comments received during the public comment period for the October 2020 proposal. In the October 2020 proposal, the EPA proposed to approve the substitution of NO_x emission reductions for VOC emission reductions but did not address how the substitution is consistent with the Clean Air Act (CAA). In this supplemental proposal, EPA is proposing to approve the substitution of NO_x emission reductions for VOC emission reductions as consistent with section 182(c)(2)(C) of the CAA. The EPA is providing an opportunity for public comment on this supplemental proposal. The EPA is not reopening for comment the October 2020 proposal. Comments received on the October 2020 proposal and this supplemental proposal will be addressed in a final rule.

DATES: Written comments on this supplemental proposal must be received on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA-R06-OAR-2020-0161, at <https://www.regulations.gov> or via email to paige.carrie@epa.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact Carrie Paige, 214-665-6521, paige.carrie@epa.gov. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at www.regulations.gov. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available due to docket file size restrictions or content (e.g., CBI).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Paige, EPA Region 6 Office, Infrastructure & Ozone Section, 214-665-6521, paige.carrie@epa.gov. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Region 6 office may be closed to the public to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID-19. The EPA

Region 6 office encourages the public to submit comments via <https://www.regulations.gov>. Please call or e-mail the contact listed above if you need alternative access to material indexed but not provided in the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refers to the EPA.

I. Background

On May 13, 2020, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or State) submitted to EPA a SIP revision addressing RFP requirements for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the two serious ozone nonattainment areas in Texas - the DFW and Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) areas. On October 9, 2020 (85 FR 64084), we published a proposed rule to approve those portions of the May 13, 2020, Texas SIP revision addressing the DFW RFP requirements.¹ In this supplemental proposal, we refer to the May 13, 2020, Texas SIP revision as “the RFP submittal” and we refer to our October 9, 2020, proposed action and Technical Support Document (TSD) as “the October 2020 proposal.”²

In our October 2020 proposal, we provided information on ozone formation, the ozone standards, area designations, related SIP revision requirements under the CAA, and the EPA’s implementing regulations for the 2008 ozone standards, referred to as the 2008 Ozone SIP Requirements Rule (“2008 Ozone SRR”).³ The DFW Area, comprising Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Johnson, Kaufman, Parker, Rockwall, Tarrant, and Wise counties was classified as Serious nonattainment for the 2008 ozone standards and as such was subject to the serious area requirements, one of which was to demonstrate

¹ We addressed the RFP for the HGB serious ozone nonattainment area in a separate rulemaking. See 86 FR 24717 (May 10, 2021).

² The RFP submittal and our October 2020 proposal are provided in the docket for this action.

³ See 80 FR 12264 (March 6, 2015).

reasonable further progress in reducing VOC.⁴ In demonstrating RFP, NO_x emission reductions may be substituted for VOC reductions with the appropriate justification.

Comments on our October 2020 proposal were required to be received by November 9, 2020. We received relevant adverse comments on our proposal that included, among other comments, that our proposal did not address how the substitution of NO_x emission reductions for VOC emission reductions in the DFW RFP is consistent with the CAA. Thus, we are addressing the NO_x substitution in this supplemental proposal action. All comments received on our October 2020 proposal and this supplemental proposal will be addressed in the final action.

A. An Overview of Ozone Chemistry and NO_x Substitution Effects

As explained in our October 2020 proposal, ground-level ozone is formed when VOC and NO_x react in the presence of sunlight.⁵ However, rather than varying directly with emissions of its precursors, ozone changes in a nonlinear fashion with the concentrations of its precursors. As described in EPA's Health Risk and Exposure Assessment for Ozone,⁶ NO_x emissions lead to both the formation and destruction of ozone, depending on the local concentrations of NO_x, VOC, and radicals such as the hydroxyl (OH) and hydroperoxy (HO₂) radicals. In areas dominated by fresh emissions of NO_x, these radicals are removed via the production of nitric acid (HNO₃), which lowers the ozone formation rate. In addition, the depletion of ozone by reaction with NO_x is called "titration" and is often found in downtown metropolitan areas, especially near busy streets and roads, and in power plant emission plumes.⁷ This "titration" results in ozone

⁴ The EPA's recent final determination that the DFW Serious nonattainment area failed to attain the 2008 ozone NAAQS by the area's attainment date is outside the scope of this action. 87 FR 60926 (October 7, 2022).

⁵ VOC and NO_x are also referred to as ozone precursors.

⁶ EPA, Health Risk and Exposure Assessment (HREA) for Ozone Final Report, August 2014. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/naaqs/ozone-o3-standards-risk-and-exposure-assessments-review-completed-2015>.

⁷ Oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) can be in the form of nitric oxide (NO), nitrite (NO₂), etc. Ozone (O₃) is a highly reactive gas that decays to ordinary oxygen (O₂). When O₃ reacts with NO_x, the result oxidizes the NO_x, i.e., the molecule of oxygen (O) moves from the O₃ to the NO_x. For example, O₃ + NO forms NO₂ + O₂. This reaction can also move in the opposite direction, to form ozone.

concentrations that can be much lower than in surrounding areas. Titration is usually confined to areas close to strong NO_x sources, and the NO₂ formed can lead to ozone formation later and further downwind. Consequently, ozone response to reductions in NO_x emissions is complex and may include ozone decreases at some times and locations and increases in ozone at other times and locations. In areas with low NO_x concentrations, such as those found in remote continental areas and rural and suburban areas downwind of urban centers, the net production of ozone typically varies directly with NO_x concentrations and increases with increasing NO_x emissions.

In general, the rate of ozone production is limited by either the concentration of VOC or NO_x. Ozone formation resulting from these two precursors relies on the relative sources of OH and NO_x. When OH radicals are abundant and are not depleted by reaction with NO_x and/or other species, ozone production is referred to as being “NO_x-limited.”⁸ In this situation, ozone concentrations are most effectively reduced by lowering NO_x emissions, rather than lowering emissions of VOCs. When the abundance of OH and other radicals is limited either through low production or reactions with NO_x and other species, ozone production is sometimes called “VOC-limited” or “NO_x-saturated” and ozone is most effectively reduced by lowering VOCs. However, even in NO_x-saturated conditions, very large decreases in NO_x emissions can cause the ozone formation regime to become NO_x-limited. Consequently, reductions in NO_x emissions (when large), can make further emissions reductions more effective at reducing ozone. Between the NO_x-limited and NO_x-saturated extremes there is a transitional region, where ozone is less sensitive to marginal changes in either NO_x or VOCs. In rural areas and downwind of urban areas, ozone production is generally NO_x-limited. However, across urban areas with high populations, conditions may vary.

⁸ See EPA’s Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants, Final Report, February 2013, section 3.2.4, posted at <https://www.epa.gov/naaqs/ozone-o3-standards-integrated-science-assessments-review-completed-2015>.

CAA section 182(c)(2)(C) requires serious and above ozone nonattainment areas to make reasonable progress in reducing VOC, and also grants the EPA discretion to define the conditions under which NO_x reductions may be substituted for or combined with VOC reductions “in order to maximize the reduction in ozone air pollution” and does not further specify the conditions that represent an “equivalent” reduction in ozone. For instance, it does not require a specific concentration test at every monitor or at specific locations within an area. No such requirement appears in the CAA’s other provisions governing the RFP demonstration, which define specific percentage reductions aimed at ensuring timely attainment of the NAAQS, or in the EPA’s 1993 NO_x Substitution Guidance, which describes a recommended procedure for states to utilize NO_x substitution.⁹ We interpret CAA section 182(c)(2)(C) and these supporting authorities as properly reflecting Congress’s intent to allow NO_x reductions to be considered within an RFP demonstration so long as these reductions are at least as effective as using VOC reductions in reducing ozone.

B. Ozone Chemistry in the DFW Area

The dynamics of ozone formation in the DFW area, including the proportion of VOC to NO_x (“VOC: NO_x ratio”), are described in Appendix D of the DFW Serious Area Attainment Demonstration SIP Revision for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS.¹⁰ Appendix D is the conceptual model for the DFW area, providing details on ozone transport, as well as trends and formation.

The highest levels of ozone typically occur north and northwest of the Dallas urban core, e.g., at the Denton Airport South and Grapevine Fairway monitors — these monitors are often downwind during the ozone season, as surface winds during this time

⁹ EPA’s NO_x Substitution Guidance is posted in the docket for this rulemaking and at https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/aqmguidance/collection/cp2_old/19931201_oaqps_nox_substitution_guidance.pdf.

¹⁰ Henceforth referred to as “Appendix D” and posted in the docket for this action.

are predominately from the south and southeast.¹¹ The Grapevine Fairway monitor, northwest of the Dallas urban core, was the “controlling” monitor in 2018 and 2020; the Dallas North monitor, north of the Dallas urban core, was the controlling monitor in 2019; and the Pilot Point monitor, north-northwest of the Dallas urban core, was the controlling monitor in 2021.¹² The controlling monitor is the monitor with the highest ozone design value (DV) in the nonattainment area. The DV is the annual fourth highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration and is the metric to determine compliance with the 2008 ozone NAAQS. Thus, the controlling monitor determines the ozone DV for the nonattainment area.

The DFW area is the fourth largest metropolitan area in the United States with about 7.5 million residents.¹³ Ozone precursor emissions (both NO_x and VOC) in the DFW area and particularly the urban core of Dallas and Fort Worth have historically been dominated by mobile source emissions.¹⁴ In recent years however, only the NO_x emissions in the DFW area are dominated by mobile sources and VOC emissions are dominated by area sources.¹⁵ Appendix D mentions that the highest average NO_x concentrations have been measured at the Dallas Hinton Street ozone monitor, located in the Dallas urban core near several busy roadways. Monitors located to the south and southeast of Dallas County, in areas that are relatively rural, have measured the lowest NO_x concentrations. NO_x concentrations in the DFW area have declined since the mid-1980s and NO_x concentrations at the Dallas Hinton Street monitor showed a 50 percent (%) decrease from 2003 through 2013. We believe that NO_x emissions have continued to

¹¹ A map showing the location of the 20 ozone monitors in the DFW area is posted in the docket for this action.

¹² The TCEQ posts the annual fourth highest daily maximum 8-hour average ozone concentrations. See https://www.tceq.texas.gov/cgi-bin/compliance/monops/8hr_attainment.pl.

¹³ U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 census data for the 10 counties comprising the DFW area. See <https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/interactive/2020-population-and-housing-state-data.html>.

¹⁴ For example, see the approved emission inventories at 73 FR 58475 (October 7, 2008).

¹⁵ See the emission inventories approved at 79 FR 67068 (November 12, 2014), 81 FR 88124 (December 7, 2016), and 87 FR 56891 (September 16, 2022).

decrease since 2013, as seen in the more recent and lower emission inventories for the DFW area cited earlier (81 FR 88124 and 87 FR 56891). Appendix D mentions that reductions in NO_x concentrations outside the DFW urban core are not as large as those observed close to its center, which suggests that the measured decreases may be a result of reductions in NO_x emissions from mobile sources. Decreases in VOC concentration have also been measured in the DFW area but are not as dramatic as the decreases in NO_x emissions.

The TCEQ uses analyses in Appendix D to conclude that monitors to the northwest and on the edges of the DFW area are transitional or NO_x-limited, indicating that NO_x controls would be more effective at controlling ozone in these areas. Monitors to the northwest include the Denton Airport South, Grapevine Fairway, Keller, and Eagle Mountain Lake, and monitors on the edges of the DFW area include Pilot Point, Parker County, Granbury, Cleburne Airport, Italy, Corsicana Airport, Kaufman, Rockwall Heath, and Greenville. Of these monitors, only the Grapevine Fairway, Pilot Point, and Cleburne Airport monitors had ozone DVs above 75 ppb from 2018 through 2021.

Of the 20 ozone monitors in the DFW area, 15 have ozone DVs at or below 75 ppb from 2018 through 2021 and thus, are not relevant to the discussion on NO_x substitution since these monitors are not violating the 2008 ozone NAAQS. Four of the five monitors with ozone DVs above 75 ppb (Frisco, Dallas North, Grapevine Fairway, and Pilot Point) are north and northwest (and typically downwind) from the Dallas urban core and thus, consistent with our earlier discussion on ozone chemistry and the TCEQ's analyses in Appendix D, we would expect NO_x controls to be more effective than VOC controls for reducing ozone at these monitors. The fifth monitor with ozone DVs above 75 ppb is the Cleburne Airport monitor, which, as noted earlier, is on the edge of the DFW area and is south-southwest of the DFW urban core. While surface winds during the ozone season are predominately from the south and southeast, it is not unusual for surface winds to

flow from the northeast (and thus, flow downwind from the DFW urban core) on days when the Cleburne Airport monitor exceeds the ozone standard.¹⁶ Therefore, consistent with our earlier discussion on ozone chemistry and the TCEQ's analyses in Appendix D, we would expect NO_x controls to be more effective than VOC controls for controlling ozone at this monitor, too.

A new analysis published by EPA authors looks at ozone formation regimes in 2007 and 2016 in ozone nonattainment areas, including the DFW area.¹⁷ Details for DFW are included in the supplemental information of that paper which suggests that: 1) day-of-week analysis points to the DFW area as a whole being NO_x-limited in 2016 but the controlling monitor being “transitional,” and 2) photochemical model sensitivity analysis (i.e., model predictions of how ozone will change with emissions perturbations) predicts that in 2016 all locations in the DFW area were NO_x-limited on average across days with ozone levels above 70 ppb (there could be some variability among those days). The controlling monitor in 2016 was the Denton Airport South monitor, northwest of the Dallas urban core, with an ozone DV of 80 ppb.¹⁸

An analysis of ozone and NO_x for each day of the week for 1997-2013 is also provided in Appendix D. In the scientific literature, day-of-week analysis has been used to infer ozone chemical regimes.¹⁹ In many urban areas, NO_x concentrations decrease on weekends while VOC concentrations remain fairly constant due to shifts in heavy-duty diesel vehicle patterns throughout the week. All other conditions being equal, if ozone

¹⁶ See the 2014-2016 back trajectory analyses from the Cleburne Airport monitor provided in the Dallas-Fort Worth and Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Nonattainment Areas Final Area Designations for the 2015 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards Technical Support Document, Figure 6b on page 18. Figure 6b shows winds predominantly from the northeast on days when the Cleburne Airport monitor exceeded the 2015 ozone NAAQS. This TSD is posted at <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OAR-2017-0548-0403>.

¹⁷ Reference: Kopplitz, S; Simon, H; Henderson, B; Liljegren, J; Tonnesen, G; Whitehill, A; and Wells, B. Changes in Ozone Chemical Sensitivity in the United States from 2007 to 2016. ACS Environ. Au 2022, 2, 206-222. See also <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenvironau.1c00029>. This article and the supplemental information are in the docket for this action.

¹⁸ The ozone DV at the Denton Airport South monitor was at or below 75 ppb from 2018 through 2021.

¹⁹ Please see the list of references provided in the docket for this action.

concentrations decrease in parallel with these lower weekend NO_x values that suggests a location has NO_x-limited ozone formation regime. Conversely higher weekend ozone concentrations suggest a VOC-limited ozone formation regime. The analysis presented in Appendix D finds that on Sundays, ozone and NO_x concentrations were significantly lower compared to other days of the week and on Fridays, ozone and NO_x concentrations were higher compared to other days of the week. Appendix D notes that the highest NO_x concentrations (on Fridays) are also when traffic (on-road mobile source activity) is at its peak. Appendix D further presents site-level ozone concentrations by day-of-week and shows that the highest ozone concentrations occur mid-week at both an urban core site (Dallas Hinton Street) and at one of the controlling monitors (Grapevine Fairway) suggesting NO_x-limited conditions in these locations. The analysis in Appendix D reviewed the number of days with ozone concentrations greater than 75 ppb (“high ozone days”) for each day of the week at all monitoring sites in the DFW area and found that fewer high ozone days occur on Sundays compared to other days of the week. Sunday had 85 high ozone days and Monday had the second lowest number of high ozone days - 103. High ozone days occur most often on Fridays, with 137 days. While the day-of-week analysis is for years 1997-2013, NO_x reductions in the DFW area since 2013 are expected to result in more NO_x-limited conditions than would have been present during the period of this analysis.

From 2018 through 2021, 15 of the 20 monitors in the DFW area recorded ozone DVs at or below 75 ppb and five monitors had ozone DVs above 75 ppb: Pilot Point, Grapevine Fairway, Dallas North, Frisco, and Cleburne Airport. The TCEQ’s analyses in Appendix D indicate that NO_x controls would be more effective at controlling ozone in these monitor locations. The TCEQ’s findings here are consistent with our understanding of ozone chemistry and recent analysis of ozone formation regimes described earlier. Therefore, we find the TCEQ’s use of NO_x substitution in the DFW area reasonable,

especially where the DFW monitors have DVs above 75 ppb from 2018 through 2021.

II. NO_x Substitution in the TX RFP SIP for the DFW Area

As described here and in our October 2020 proposal, the DFW serious nonattainment area for the 2008 ozone NAAQS had an attainment date of July 20, 2021.²⁰ The attainment year ozone season is the ozone season immediately preceding a nonattainment area's maximum attainment date (see 40 CFR 51.1100(h)). Therefore, pursuant to CAA section 182(c)(2) and 40 CFR 51.1110, the RFP submittal for the DFW serious nonattainment area must demonstrate emissions reductions within the nonattainment area of three percent per year from January 1, 2018, to the end of the attainment year and thus, a nine percent reduction in emissions is required from January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2020. In addition, because the State has already satisfied the 15 percent VOC emissions reduction requirement for the DFW area,²¹ all 10 counties in the DFW Serious nonattainment area may substitute NO_x reductions for VOC, consistent with the 2008 Ozone SRR (see 80 FR 12264, 12271), 40 CFR 51.1110, and EPA's NO_x Substitution Guidance.

The RFP submittal for the DFW area provides the required nine percent reductions as eight percent NO_x emissions reductions and one percent VOC emissions reductions. As noted earlier, Appendix D describes the highest levels of NO_x in the urban core and the highest concentrations of ozone recorded at monitors downwind of the urban core, predominantly in the north and northwest portions of the DFW area. Appendix D also describes a transitional regime in the DFW urban core and at the Eagle Mountain Lake monitor however, the monitors in the DFW urban core and the Eagle Mountain Lake monitor have ozone DVs at or below 75 ppb from 2018 through 2021 and thus, are not

²⁰ See 84 FR 44238, (August 23, 2019). The EPA's final determination that the DFW area did not meet the July 20, 2021, attainment date for the 2008 ozone NAAQS is outside the scope of this action (*see* 87 FR 60926).

²¹ See 81 FR 88124 (December 7, 2016).

relevant to the discussion on NO_x substitution. Appendix D also describes, consistent with EPA's discussion on ozone chemistry elsewhere in this action, that monitors to the north, northwest, and on the edges of the DFW area are transitional or NO_x-limited. As mentioned earlier, four of the five monitors that recorded violations of the 2008 ozone NAAQS between 2018 and 2021 are north and northwest of the Dallas urban core: Pilot Point, Grapevine Fairway, Dallas North, and Frisco. The fifth monitor – the Cleburne Airport monitor – is on the south-southwest edge of the DFW area. Finally, the State's review of ozone and NO_x for each day of the week links levels of NO_x with ozone levels, indicating that decreasing levels of NO_x would result in decreasing levels of ozone. Because ambient NO_x and ozone data indicate those areas of DFW with the highest ozone values are NO_x-limited, and because there are no violating monitors in the DFW areas described as VOC-limited, we agree with Texas that reductions in NO_x are at least as effective in reducing ozone as VOC reductions. In addition, based on the EPA's analysis referenced earlier²² and the TCEQ's day-of-the-week analyses of NO_x concentrations and ozone levels, we would also expect NO_x reductions at the DFW urban core monitors to be at least as effective in reducing ozone as VOC reductions. Therefore, we find that the State's use of NO_x substitution is warranted and appropriately implemented, and we propose to approve the NO_x substitution provided in the RFP submittal for the DFW serious nonattainment area for the 2008 ozone NAAQS.

III. Environmental Justice Considerations

Executive Order 12898 (Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, 59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) directs Federal agencies to identify and address “disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects” of their actions on minority populations and low-

²² Koplitz, S; Simon, H; Henderson, B; Liljegren, J; Tonnesen, G; Whitehill, A; and Wells, B. Changes in Ozone Chemical Sensitivity in the United States from 2007 to 2016. ACS Environ. Au 2022, 2, 206-222. This article and the supplemental information are in the docket for this action.

income populations to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law. The EPA defines environmental justice (EJ) as “the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.” The EPA further defines the term fair treatment to mean that “no group of people should bear a disproportionate burden of environmental harms and risks, including those resulting from the negative environmental consequences of industrial, governmental, and commercial operations or programs and policies.”²³ For this proposed action, the EPA conducted screening analyses using the EJScreen (Version 2.1) tool. We conducted the analyses for the purpose of providing information to the public, not as a basis of our proposed action. The EJScreen analysis reports are available in the docket for this rulemaking. The EPA found, based on the EJScreen analyses, that this proposed action will not have disproportionately high or adverse human health or environmental effects on communities with EJ concerns, as the RFP is an accounting of ozone precursor emission reductions throughout the 10-county DFW nonattainment area.

IV. Supplemental Proposed Action

The EPA is supplementing our October 2020 proposal addressing revisions to the Texas SIP to meet the RFP requirements for the DFW serious nonattainment area for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. In this supplemental proposal, we are proposing to approve the substitution of NO_x emission reductions for VOC emission reductions as consistent with section 182(c)(2)(C) of the CAA. The EPA is providing an opportunity for public comment on this supplemental proposal. However, we are not reopening for comment our October 2020 proposal. The EPA will address all comments received on our October 2020 proposal and on this supplemental proposal in our final action.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

²³ See <https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/learn-about-environmental-justice>.

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: December 15, 2022.

Earthea Nance,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2022-27603 Filed: 12/19/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 12/20/2022]